

EVALUATION OF LT3, ISV AND IN-SITU BIORECLAMATION SYSTEM

Throughput Through LT³

The throughput for LT³ is approximately 7 tons/hr for soils containing 20 percent moisture. The throughput rate will vary with moisture content of the soil.

The attached Tables 1 and 2 provide the summary of thermal desorption of volatiles, semivolatiles and Aroclor 1260 from two sites.

In-situ Vapor Extraction

A vapor-phase vacuum extraction system is effective in removing compounds that have Henry's Law Constant greater than 0.001. Compounds which have been effectively removed by vapor extraction include trichloroethene, trichloroethane, tetrachloroethene, and most gasoline constituents. Compounds which are less easily removed include trichlorobenzene, acetone, and heavier petroleum fuels.

Capping:

A impermeable cap is used if the movement of the air towards the extraction vent is desired to be more redial than vertical. The radius of influence around the extraction vents extends when an impermeable vent is in place. The capping materials normally used for capping include plastic sheeting, clay, or asphalt.

The cost of clay liner will be approximately \$2.50 to \$3.00 per square feet.

In-situ bioreclamation:

The bioreclamation technique is used for treating zones of contamination by microbial degradation. The basic concept involves altering environmental conditions to enhance microbial catabolism or cometabolism of organic contaminants, resulting in the breakdown and detoxification of contaminants. In general the method optimizes environmental conditions by providing oxygen source and nutrients which are delivered to subsurface through an injection well or infiltration system to enhance microbial activity. Indigenous microorganisms can be generally be relied on to degraded wide variety of compounds by

using proper nutrients and sufficient oxygen.

Table 3 summarizes organic groups subject to microbial metabolism by aerobic respiration, anaerobic respiration, and fermentation. These tables and estimation methods provide only a general indication of degradability of compounds. A treatability study will be required to determine degradability of specific waste components.

Table 4 provides relative degradabilities of various substances in terms of BOD₅/COD ratio. Higher ratio represents higher biodegradability.

Concentrations of inorganics and/or organic contaminants could be so high as to be toxic to the microbial populations. Table 5 lists concentrations at which certain compounds have been found to be toxic in industrial waste treatment. Microorganisms present in the subsurface may be more tolerant to high concentrations of these compounds. This determination must be made on case by case basis. A situation may prevail in which the contaminant concentrations are so low that the assimilative processes of microorganisms are sometimes not simulated, thus adaptation to the particular substrata will not occur and the substrata will not be degraded. It is also possible that even if the contaminants is present in acceptable concentrations, if there is another preferred carbon source available, the microorganisms will catabolize it preferentially.

The biodegradability of a compound at a low temperature may be much slower than at high temperature. Hence it may not be feasible to attempt a bioreclamation approach in the north.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF ORGANIC RESULTS OF THERMAL DESORPTION OF OLD MILL SOIL (AVERAGE)

Parameter	Untreated Soil, µg/kg	350°F μg/kg	% Reduction 1	550°F μg/kg	% Reduction 1
Volatile Organics					
Trichloroethene	2,400	173	93	<25	>99
Tetrachloroethene	362	35	90	<25	>93
Toluene	152	43	72	57	63
Xylenes (total)	950	285	70	48	95
Semivolatile Organics			2	3	
Aroclor 1260	2,000	3,000	(50) ²	ND (100) ³	>95

¹ Reduction reported as % change from initial concentration, not corrected by moisture.

² Increases in concentration are shown in parentheses.

 $^{^3}$ ND (100) = Not detected (method detection limit).

TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF ORGANIC RESULTS OF THERMAL DESORPTION OF BERLIN-FARRO SOIL (AVERAGE)

Parameter	Untreated Soil, µg/kg	350°F µg/kg	% Reduction ¹	550°F µg/kg	% Reduction ¹
Volatile Organics		2			
2-Butanone	290	343 ²	(18)	80	72
Trichloroethene	147	<23	>84	<25	>83
Tetrachloroethene	280	<23	>92	3	99
Toluene	483	19	96	27	94
Xylenes (total)	387	<23	>94	<25	>91
Semivolatile Organics	•	2			
Hexachlorobutadiene	1,900 ³	430 3	77	<3,300	NC 4
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	46,000	3,050	93	<3,300	93
Pentachlorobenzene	10,200	15,100	(48)	2,500	75
Hexachlorobenzene	105,000	250,000	(138)	47,000	55

Reduction reported as % change from initial concentration, not corrected by moisture. Increases in concentration are shown in parentheses.

² Compound detected in the laboratory blank.

³ Estimated values as presented by the CLP laboratory.

⁴ NC = not calculated. The method detection limit of the analysis was exceeded by the laboratory; reduction cannot be calculated.

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF ORGANIC GROUPS SUBJECT TO BIODEGRADATION

Substrate Compounds	Respiration				
	Aerobic	Anaerobic	Ferment at ion	Oxidation	Co-oxidation
Straight Chain Alkanes	+	+	+	+	+
Branched Alkanes	+	+	+	+	+
Saturated Aklyl Halides		+		+	+
Unsaturated Alkyl Halides		+		+	
Esters, Glycols, Epoxides	+	+	+	+	
Alcohols	+	+		+	
Aldehydes, Ketones	+	+		+	
Carboxylic Acids	+	+		+	
Am ides	+	+			
Esters	+	+			
Nitriles	+	+			
Amines	+	+			
Phthalate Esters	+	+		+	
Nitrosamines		+			4
					(continued)

The same of the sa

TABLE 3 (continued)

Substrate Compounds	Respiration				
	Aerobic	Anaerobic	Fermentation	Ox idat ion	Co-oxidation
Phenols - Dihydrides, Polyhydrides	+			+	+
Two & Three Ring Fused Polycyclic Hydrocarbons	+			+	
Biphenyls	+				
Chlorinated Biphenyls	+				
Four Ring Fused Polycyclic Hydrocarbons	+				
Five Ring Fused Polycyclic Hydrocarbons	+				
Fused Polycyclic Hydrocarbons	+				
Organophosphates	+	+			
Pesticides and Herbicides	+	+			+

.rce: Envirosphere, 1985

TABLE ³ (continued)

Substrate Compounds	Respiration				
	Aerobic	Anaerobic	Ferment at ion	Ox idat ion	Co-oxidat ion
Thiols					
Cyclic Alkanes	+		+	+	+
Unhalogenated Aromatics	+	+		+	
Halogenated Aromatics	+	+		+	+
Simple Aromatic Nitro Compounds	+	+			
Aromatic Nitro Compounds With Other Functional Groups	+	+			+
Phenol s	+	+	+	+	+
Halogenated Side Chain Aromatics	+		+	t	
Fused Ring Hydroxy Compounds	+				
Nitrophenols		+			
Halophenols	+			+	(continued)

TABLE 4
BOD₅/COD RATIOS FOR VARIOUS ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Compound	Ratio	Compound	Ratio
Relatively Undegradable		Moderately Degradable (cont'd.)	
Butane	~0	Mineral spirits	~ 0.02
Butylene	~0	Cyclohexanol	0.03
Carbon tetrachloride	~0	Acrylonitrile	0.031
Chioroform	~0	Nonanol	> 0.033
1,4-Dioxane	~0	Undecanol	< 0.04
Ethane	~0	Methylethylpyridine	0.04-0.7
Heptane	~0	1-Hexene	< 0.044
Hexane	~0	Methyl isobutyl ketone	< 0.044
Isobutane	~0	Diethanolamine	< 0.049
Isobutylene	~0	Formic scid	0.05
Liquefied natural gas	~0	Styrene	> 0.06
Liquefied petroleum gas	~0	Heptanol	< 0.07 0.07-0.2
Methane	~0	arc-Butyl acetate	0.07-0.2
Methyl bromide	~0	n-Butyl acetate	0.07-0.3
Methyl chloride	~0	Methyl alcohol	0.07-0.
Monochlorodifluoromethane	~ 0	Acetonitrile	0.079
Nitrobenzene	~0	Ethylene glycol	< 0.09
Propane	~0 ~0	Ethylene glycol monoethyl ether	< 0.09
Propylene	~0	Sodium cyanide Linear alcohols (12-15 carbons)	> 0.09
Propylene oxide	~0	Alivi alcohol	0.091
Tetrachloroethylene	~0	Dodecanol	0.097
Tetrahydronaphthalene	< 0.002		0.007
1-Pentene	0.002	Relatively Degradable	-010
Ethylene dichloride 1-Octene	> 0.003	Valeraldehyde	< 0.10
Morpholine	< 0.004	n-Decyl alcohol	> 0.10
Morpholine Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid	0.005	p-Xylene	< 0.11 0.11
Triethanolamine	< 0.006	Ures	< 0.11
o-Xviene	< 0.008	Toluene Potassium cyanide	0.12
m-Xviene	< 0.008	Isopropyl acetate	< 0.12
Ethylbenzene	< 0.009	Amyl acetate	0.13-0.3
Moderately Degradable		Chlorobenzene	0.15
Ethyl other	0.012	Jet fuels (various)	~ 0.15
Sodium alkylbenzenesulfonates	~ 0.017	Kerosene	~ 0.15
Monoisopropanolamine	< 0.02	Range oil	~ 0.15
Gas oil (cracked)	~ 0.02	Glycerine	< 0.16
Gasolines (various)	~ 0.02	Adiponitrile	0.17
Relatively Degradable (cont'd.)		Relatively Degradable (cont'd.)	
Furfural	0.17-0.46	Ethyleneimine	0.46
2-Ethyl-3-propylacrolein	< 0.19	Monoethanolamine	0.46
Methylethylpyridine	< 0.20	Pyridine	0.46-0.58
Vinyl acetate	< 0.20	Dimethylformamide	0.48
Diethylene glycol		Dextrose solution	0.50
monomethyl ether	< 0.20	Com syrup	~ 0.50
Naphthelene (molten)	< 0.20	Maleic anhydride	> 0.51
Dibutyl phthalate	0.20	Propionic acid	0.52
Hexanol	~ 0.20	Acetone	0.55
Soybeen oil	~ 0.20	Aniline	0.56
Paraformaldehyde	0.20	isopropyl alcohol	0.56
7-Propyl alcohol	0.20-0.63	n-Amyl alcohol	0.57
Methyl methacrylate Acrylic acid	< 0.24	Isoamyi alcohoi	0.57
scrync acid Sodium alkyl sulfates	0.26 ~ 0.30	Cresois	0.57-0.68 < 0.58
Friethylene glycol	0.30	Crotonaldehyde Phythalic anhydrida	< 0.58 0.58
Acetic acid	0.31-0.37	Phthalic anhydride Benzaldehyde	0.62
Acetic anhydride	> 0.37-0.37	Isobutyl alcohol	0.63
Ethylenediamine	< 0.35	2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.78
Formaldehyde solution	0.35	Tallow	~ 0.80
Ethyl acetate	< 0.36	Phenoi	0.81
Octanoi	0.37	Benzoic acid	0.84
Sorbitol	< 0.38	Carbolic acid	0.84
Benzene	< 0.39	Methyl ethyl ketone	0.88
r-Butyi alcohol	0.42-0.74	Benzoyl chloride	0.94
Propionaldehyde	< 0.43	Hydrazine	1.0

Source: Lyman, Reehl and Rosenblatt. 1982.

TABLE 5
PROBLEM CONCENTRATIONS OF SELECTED CHEMICALS

Chemical	Problem Concentration (mg/1)				
	Substrate (1)	Non-Substrate (2			
n-Butanol		>1000			
sec-Butanol		>1000			
t-Butanol	>1000	>1000			
Allyl alcohol		>1000			
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	500-1000				
Formaldehyde		50-100			
Crotonaldehyde	200	50-100			
Acrolein					
Acetone		>1000			
Methyl isobutyl ketone	>1000	>1000			
Isophorone	>1000				
Diethylamine		300-1000			
Ethylenediamine		100-300			
Acrylonitrile	>1000	100			
2-Methyl-5-ethylpyridine	>1000	100			
N,N-dimethylaniline	>1000				
phenol	>1000	300-1000			
Ethyl benzene	>1000				
Ethyl acrylate	600-1000	300-600			
Sodium acrylate		>500			
Dodecane	>1000				
Dextrose	>1000	>1000			
Ethyl acetate	>1000				
Ethylene glycol	>1000	>900			
Diethylene glycol		>1000			
Tetraline	>1000				
Karosene		>500			
Cobalt chloride		>1000			

⁽¹⁾ Substrate limiting reprsents the condition in which the subject compound is the sole carbon and energy source.

Source: SCS Engineers, 1979

⁽²⁾Non-substrate limiting represents the condition in which other carbon and energy sources are present.